



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,174	12/29/2000	Nabil N. Seddigh	P 270174 12845RO	5825
909	7590	06/22/2004	EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			JONES, PRENELL P	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2667		18
DATE MAILED: 06/22/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/750,174	SEDDIGH ET AL.	
	Examiner Prenell P Jones	Art Unit 2667	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 December 2001.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) 6-27 is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) 3 and 28-30 is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

Specification

1. Claims 28-30 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claims 6, 7, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 26. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 28-30 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
2. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
3. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said edge policy enforcement" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Donovan.

Regarding claims 1 and 4, Donovan discloses (Abstract, Fig. 1 & 8B, col. 4, line 5-64, col. 5, line 15-col. 7, line 67) end-to-end communication between a first user and second user wherein QoS is assured by successful RSVP reservation whereby policy servers are utilized at both first user and second user locations as well as edge routers wherein the routers/servers act as policy enforcement points, a request message (first message) is routed from first user to second user, a response message (second message) (acknowledgement) is transmitted from second user to first user, and another (third message) message from first user to second user is transmitted, (col. 9, line 3 thru col. 11, line 67) reserving resource setup/resource for communication from first user to second user and from second user back to first user, and (col. 7, line 22 thru col. 8, line 67) messages relayed between users are PATH message, RESV message and confirmation acknowledgement.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 6-27 are allowed over prior art.

Art Unit: 2667

7. Claims 3 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Although the prior art discloses filtering/gating data flow using policy control mechanisms whereby RSVP protocol is used by routers to deliver request to nodes along paths of flow, edge routers, gateway and routers act as policy enforcement points/nodes, implementing IP telephony using end-end RSVP signaling, providing QOS using end-end RSVP signaling, establishing RSVP policy based telephone request, RSVP processing at routers/gateways/servers they fail to teach/suggest second policy enforcement device connecting to a network and sending a third message from a first party to a second party, said third message acknowledging second message, sending PATH_ERR message, intercepting a PATH message carrying resource reservation request, aborting initiation of communication if said message is an RESV ERR message, first/second/third policy enforcement device, third message includes RESV Confirm + RESV message, aborting initiation, determining a next hop address if said decision is positive, said next hop address being determined from path information carried in said RESV+PATH, adding an address to said PATH message if said message is a PATH message, address identifying said egress policy enforcement device, adding an address resulting in a revised PATH message, determining a hop address for forwarding said revised PATH message, egress is defined according to said forward direction, each of said at least one egress policy enforcement device receiving said first/second/third messages, adding its own address to the first message before forwarding the first

Art Unit: 2667

message and adding its own address to said second message being forwarding, probing path between first party and second party.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prenell P. Jones whose telephone number is 703-305-0630. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on 703-305-4378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Prenell P. Jones

June 15, 2004

CHI PHAM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

6/18/04